

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Byx 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645.708	08/20/2003	Lee Eric Kilmer	1285.004US2	8442	
21186 7590 02/08/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER		
			WU, YICUN		
MINNEAPOLI	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		· .	2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/645,708	KILMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Yicun Wu	2165	***

	Yicun Wu	2165	***		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Office.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	-		ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	I E below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	••	duoina or oimplifuina	tha iaguaa far		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	iter form for appear by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	lile issues ioi		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	. ` ''	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profitted that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-31</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	=				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a I).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
	•	Ali	Frank		
		Datens	[Xam/ha a		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added new claim limitation as claimed in claims 16 and 23, raises new issues that would require further consideration and /or search. Therefore the proposed ammendment will not be entered; and the claimed limitations of the finally rejected claims is still met by prior art of record Cazemier et al., (U. S. Patent No. 6,609,123).

The specifically argued "the data structure models the OLAP query in an abstract form compatible with several different OLAP query formats" is taught by (i.e. The metadata model 15 stores metadata about its underlying one or more data sources 100. It is used to provide a common set of business-oriented abstractions of the underlying data sources 100. col. 6, lines 41-44). Cazemier et al., (U. S. Patent No. 6,609,123).